

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

10/666,498 09/19/2003 Frederick James Diggle III	030489	8960	
26285 7590 12/02/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP	GRANT, ALVIN J		
535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	3723		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,498	DIGGLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Grant	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) \square objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Preferences Cited (FTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11, 13, 14 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamakawa '296.

Yamakawa discloses a hand tool comprising: a socket body including a first socket defining a first cavity at first end of the socket body and a second socket defining a second cavity independent of the first cavity and connected to the second end of the socket body, and a shaft arm connected to the socket body extending radially therefrom as a means for torquing the socket body; the shaft arm is connected perpendicular to the socket body; the socket body is cylindrical; the first cavity defines a first opening for receiving a first fastener to be turned by the tool, and the second cavity defines a second opening for receiving a second fastener to be turned by the tool, wherein the size of the first opening does not equal the size of the second opening; the self socket includes a self forming socket (Fig. 1); the first socket is detachably connected to the socket body (Fig. 7) the shaft arm is disposed around the socket body; and the shaft arm is connected at the longitudinal center of the socket body Figs. 7 and 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,498

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claims 4, 5 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakawa in view of Tuttle '438.

Yamakawa is described above. Yamakawa does not specifically disclose a hexagonal-shaped handle. Tuttle discloses a wrench comprising a hexagonal handle so as to provide the capability of engaging the head of fasteners having female hexagonal-shaped slots. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the wrench of Yamakawa to have an hexagonal-shaped handle as taught by Tuttle so as to provide the capability of engaging the head of fasteners having female hexagonal shaped-slots.

5. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakawa in view of Tuttle in further view of Gibson '387.

Yamakawa as modified is described above. The modified Yamakawa does not specifically disclose a shaft welded or cast to the socket body. Gibson discloses a wrench wherein the shaft is welded and cast to the socket body so as to make the shaft inseparable from the socket body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have welded the shaft to the socket body and, in another instance, cast the shaft with the socket body of the modified Yamakawa apparatus as taught by Gibson so as to make the shaft inseparable from the socket body.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakawa in view of Tuttle and Gibson, and in further view of Rowe '543.

Yamakawa as modified is described above. The modified Yamakawa does not specifically disclose a shaft arm disposed in an opening defined by the socket body. Rowe discloses a wrench wherein the shaft arm is disposed in an opening defined by the socket body so that it may be detached therefrom. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have secured the shaft of the modified Yamakawa in an opening in the socket body as taught by Gibson so as to make the shaft and the socket body detachable.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

June J. Halm